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Other structural results
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Formally: a point $p$ is $\mathbf{2 ( k )}$-visible from a point $q$, if the line segment $p q$ intersects $P$ in at most two (k) connected components.

## k-Transmitters

## k-/2-Transmitter


$2 \mathrm{VR}(p) / \mathrm{kVR}(p)$ can have $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ connected components.
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| Point and edge k-transmitters | Lower bound | Upper bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple n-gons | \n/5 $\rfloor$ for k=2 [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \lfloor n / 3\rfloor \text { for } k=2 * \\ & O(n / k) \text { k-transmitters [5] } \end{aligned}$ |
| Monotone n-gons | [(n-2)/(2k+3)] [1] | $\lceil(\mathrm{n}-2) /(2 \mathrm{k}+3)\rceil[1]$ |
| Monotone orthogonal n-gons | $\begin{aligned} & \lceil(n-2) /(2 k+4)\rceil \text { for } k=1, k \text { even }[1] \\ & \lceil(n-2) /(2 k+6)\rceil k \geq 3 \text { odd }[1] \end{aligned}$ | $\lceil(n-2) /(2 k+4)\rceil$ for $k=1, k$ even [1] $\lceil(n-2) /(2 k+6)\rceil k \geq 3$ odd [1] |
| Ortogonal (2m)-gon |  | m even: Single (m-1)-transmitter; m odd: Single m-transmitter [2] |
| Spiral n-gons |  | [ $\mathrm{n} / 4$ \ for $\mathrm{k}=2$ [3] |
| Arrangement of lines in the plane | Single [2n/3]-transmitter [2] <br> Two [n/2]-transmitters [2] | Single 「2n/3]-transmitter [2] <br> Two [n/2]-transmitters [2] |
| d-dim Euclidean space \w n convex obstacles |  | Single ( $\mathrm{dn}+1) /(\mathrm{d}+1)$-transmitter [6] |
| Plane with obstacles |  | $\lceil(5 n+6) / 12\rceil$ 1-tr for $n$ disjoint line segments [3] |
| Simple n-gons | [ $\mathrm{n} / 6$ ] for k=2 [4] | \3n/10 ${ }^{\text {[ }}$ [ 1 for k=2 * |
| Monotone n -gons | [( $\mathrm{n}-2) / 9]$ for $\mathrm{k}=2$ [4] | 「( $\mathrm{n}-2) / 8$ ] for $\mathrm{k}=2$ [4] |
| Monotone orthogonal n-gons | [(n-2)/10] for k=2 [4] | [(n-2)/10] for k=2 [4] |
| Orthogonal n-gons | $\lfloor(3 n+4) / 16\rfloor$ for $k=2[4]$ | [ $\mathrm{n}-2) / 10]$ for $\mathrm{k}=2$ * |
| [1] Oswin Aichholzer, Ruy Fabila-Monroy, David Flores-Peñaloza, Thomas Hackl, Jorge Urrutia, and Birgit Vogtenhuber. Modem illumination of monotone polygons. <br> [2] Ruy Fabila-Monroy, Andres Ruiz Vargas, Jorge Urrutia. On Modem Illumination Problems |  |  |
| Ryuhei Uehara. Coverage with $k$-transmitters in the presence <br> [4] Sarah Cannon, Thomas G. Fai, Justin Iwerks, Undine Leop <br> [5] Frank Duque, Carlos Hidalog-Toscano. An upper bound on <br> [6] Radoslav Foulen, Andreas F. Holmsen, János Pach. Interse | of obstacles. <br> old, and Christiane Schmidt. Combinatorics an the $k$-modem illumination problem ecting Convex Sets by Rays | pplexity of guarding polygons with edge and point 2 -transmitters. |
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| Point and edge k-transmitters | Lower bound | Upper bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple n -gons | \n/5 $\mathrm{for}_{\text {k }}$ =2 [4] | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Ln} / 3\rfloor \text { for } k=2^{*} \\ & O(n / k) k \text {-transmitters [5] } \end{aligned}$ |
| Monotone n-gons | $\lceil(\mathrm{n}-2) /(2 \mathrm{k}+3)][1]$ | [(n-2)/(2k+3)] [1] |
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| Ortogonal (2m)-gon |  | m even: Single (m-1)-transmitter; m odd: Single m-transmitter [2] |
| Spiral n-gons |  | \n/4 for $^{\text {k }}$ =2 [3] |
| Arrangement of lines in the plane | Single [2n/3]-transmitter [2] | Single [2n/3]-transmitter [2] |
|  | Two [n/2]-transmitters [2] | Two [n/2]-transmitters [2] |
| d-dim Euclidean space \w n convex obstacles |  | Single (dn+1)/(d+1)-transmitter [6] |
| Plane with obstacles |  | [(5n+6)/12] 1-tr for $n$ disjoint line segments [3] |
| Simple n-gons | [ $\mathrm{n} / 6$ ] for k=2 [4] | \3n/10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ +1 for $k=2$ * |
| Monotone n-gons | [( $\mathrm{n}-2) / 9]$ for $\mathrm{k}=2$ [4] | [( $\mathrm{n}-2) / 8$ ] for $\mathrm{k}=2$ [4] |
| Monotone orthogonal n-gons | [(n-2)/10] for k=2 [4] | [(n-2)/10] for k=2 [4] |
| Orthogonal n-gons | $\lfloor(3 n+4) / 16\rfloor$ for $k=2[4]$ | $\lceil(\mathrm{n}-2) / 10\rceil$ for k=2 * |
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## Open Problem: k-Transmitter Combinatorics
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1. Algorithm that processes vertices in angular order in batches of size $s \rightarrow$ output windows of the batch
2. Algorithm that skips non-critical vertices in processing, process critical vertices in angular order in batches of size $s \rightarrow$ output windows of the batch (different data structure)
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- Based again on radial decomposition

Yeganeh Bahoo, Bahareh Banyassady, Prosenjit K. Bose, Stephane Durocher, Wolfgang Mulzer. A time-space trade-off for

## Computational Complexity

## Computational Complexity

## Computational Complexity

## Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:

Sarah Cannon, Thomas G. Fai, Justin Iwerks, Undine Leopold, and Christiane Schmidt. Combinatorics and complexity of

## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of $P$.

## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of $P$.
Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:


## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of $P$.
Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of P.
Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


- MPkTC is NP-hard for simple polygons—reduction from Minimum Line Cover (MLCP)


## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of P.

## Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:

Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


- MPkTC is NP-hard for simple polygons—reduction from Minimum Line Cover (MLCP)


Usual spike box

## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of P.

## Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:

Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


- MPkTC is NP-hard for simple polygons—reduction from Minimum Line Cover (MLCP)


Usual spike box
$\rightarrow$ Modify slightly by
adding "crowns"


## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of P.
Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


- MPkTC is NP-hard for simple polygons—reduction from Minimum Line Cover (MLCP)


Usual spike box
$\rightarrow$ Modify slightly by adding "crowns"

## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of P.

## Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:

Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


- MPkTC is NP-hard for simple polygons—reduction from Minimum Line Cover (MLCP)
- MP2TC is NP-hard for orthogonal, simple polygons-reduction from MLCP4, where given lines have only one out of 4 slopes, shown hard by [Biedl, Irfan, Iwerks, Kim,Mitchell, 2011]


## Computational Complexity

Minimum Point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] Cover (MP2TC) [MPkTC] Problem:
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Task: Find the minimum cardinality point 2-transmitter [k-transmitter] cover of P.

## Minimum Edge 2-transmitter Cover (ME2TC) Problem:

Given: Polygon P.
Task: Find the minimum cardinality edge 2-transmitter cover of $P$.


- MPkTC is NP-hard for simple polygons-reduction from Minimum Line Cover (MLCP)
- MP2TC is NP-hard for orthogonal, simple polygons-reduction from MLCP4, where given lines have only one out of 4 slopes, shown hard by [Biedl, Irfan, Iwerks, Kim,Mitchell, 2011]
- ME2TC is NP-hard for simple polygons-adapted version of the [Lee \& Lin, 86] reduction from 3SAT for minimum edge guard cover
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- $k$-transmitter can see a point in $P$ if the perpendicular from $p$ onto $s$ intersects $P$ 's boundary at most $k$ times
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## Sliding k-Transmitters in Rectilinear Polygon

[Biedl, Chan, Lee, Mehrabi, Montecchiani, Vosoughpour, Yu, 2019]
[Mahdavi, Seddighin, Ghodsi, 2020]

- Axis-parallel line segment $s$ in polygon $P$
- Point $k$-transmitter travelling along $s$
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## Watchman Route Problem (WRP)

- So far our guards were (mostly) stationary-or restricted to some line segment
- Now: one guard (watchman) that can move
- Central concept: extensions
-Watchman route can be computed in polynomial time in a simple polygon with or without a given starting point on the boundary [Chin\&Ntafos 1986] [Tan, Hirata, Inagaki 1999] [Dror, Efrat, Lubiw, Mitchell 2003] [Carlsson, Jonsson, Nilsson 1993] [Tan 2001] -WRP in polygons with holes is NP-hard [Chin\&Ntafos 1986] [Dumitrescu\&Tóth 2012]
- As for the AGP, we can alter the capabilities of the watchman or the area to be guarded
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- Mobile k-transmitter
- Goal:
o Establish a connection with all (or a discrete subset $S \subset P$ of the) points of a polygon $P$ ("sees" all of $S$ or $P$ )
o Find shortest tour for the $k$-transmitter that "sees" all of $S$ or $P$ and moves in $P$ (a watchman route for a $k$ transmitter)
○ With or without a given starting point $s$ $k-\operatorname{TrWRP}(S, P, s)$ or $k-\operatorname{TrWRP}(S, P)$

- Extensions do not translate to $k$-transmitters for $k \geq 2$ (no longer local!)
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## k-Transmitter Watchman Routes

Well, actually, for $k \geq 4$ hard to approximate even for "simpler" polygon classes (than simple polygons).
[Recent joint work with Anna Brötzner, Bengt J. Nilsson, Valentin Polishchuk]
When we map a point $(x, y)$ to $(x, y+c x)$ for a large enough constant $c$, we obtain a $x$ - $y$-monotone polygon for which the visibility properties are maintained
We can even transform our histogram into a star-shaped polygon:
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Here, we need a starting point

## k-Transmitter Watchman Routes

Theorem 2: For a discrete set of points $S$ and a polygon $P$, the $k$-TrWRP(S,P) does not admit a polynomial-time approximation algorithm with approximation ratio $c \ln |S|$ unless P=NP, even for $k=4$ and for $P$ being a histogram, or an $x$ - $y$-monotone polygon; for the $k$-TrWRP( $S, P, s$ ), this holds even for star-shaped polygons.
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Theorem 3: Let $P$ be a simple polygon with $n=\mid P I$. Let OPT( $S, P, s$ ) be the optimal solution for the $k-\operatorname{TrWRP}(S, P, s)$ and let R be the solution by our algorithm $\operatorname{ALG}(S, P, s)$. Then R yields an approximation ratio of $\mathrm{O}\left(\log ^{2}(\mathrm{ISI} n) \log \log (I S I n) \log \mid S I\right)$.
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- Candidate points: intersection of geodesics from starting point $s$ to cuts (Call set of all cuts)
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- Add pink edges: edge cost 0 (any path/tour visiting pi,j must visit $\hat{c}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}$ )
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- Goal: find a minimum-cost subtree T of the graph that contains at least one vertex from each group and minimizes the weight of the tree
- Approximation by [Garg, Konjevod, Ravi '00] with approximation ratio $O(\log 2 \mathrm{~m} \log \log m \log Q)$
- We have $m=O(n|S|), Q=|S|+1$
- Double this tree and obtain a route $R$
the route is feasible as we visit one point per $\gamma_{i}$

To do: why do we achieve the claimed approximation factor? $p_{3,1}$

- Identify all cuts of the $k V R\left(s_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ that $\operatorname{OPT}(S, P, \mathrm{~s})$ visits - set $C\left(C \subseteq C_{\text {all }}\right)$
-Let $o_{i, j}$ denote the point where OPT(S,P,s) visits $c_{i, j}$ (first time)
-Let $o_{i, j}$ denote the point where OPT(S,P,s) visits $c_{i j}$ (first time)
- Identify subset $C$ ' of essential cuts ( $C ‘ \subseteq$ )

Proof idea: alter(unknown) optimal route $\operatorname{OPT}(S, P, s)$ to pass through points from $V(G)$, and new tour has length at most constant• OPT( $S, P, s$ )

- Identify all cuts of the $k \mathrm{VR}\left(\mathrm{si}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ that OPT $(S, P, s)$ visits-set $C\left(C \subseteq C^{\text {all }}\right)$
- Let $O_{i, j}$ denote the point where OPT( $S, P, s$ ) visits $c_{i, j}$ (first time)
- Identify subset $C^{\prime}$ of essential cuts ( $C^{\prime} \subseteq C$ )

A cut c partitions polygon into two subpolygons: $P_{s}(c)$-subpolygon that contains starting point s A cut $c_{1}$ dominates $C_{2}$ if $P_{s}\left(c_{2}\right) \subseteq P_{s}\left(c_{1}\right)$ Essential cut: not dominated by other cut
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- Claim 2: Each essential cut visited by OPT(S,P,s) (each cut in $C^{\prime}$ ) is touched by exactly one of the geodesics.
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Claim 3: No geodesic can intersect $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ between a point $O_{i, j}$ and a point $p_{i, j}$ on the same cut. Thus, between any pair of points of the type $o_{i, j}$ on $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, we have at most two points of $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}} . \mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ has length at most $3 \cdot\|O P T(S, P, s)\|$.
- Identify all cuts of the $k \mathrm{VR}\left(\mathrm{si}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ that $\operatorname{OPT}(S, P, s)$ visits - set $C\left(C \subseteq C_{\text {all }}\right)$
- Let $O_{i, j}$ denote the point where OPT( $S, P, s$ ) visits $c_{i, j}$ (first time)
- Identify subset $C^{\prime}$ of essential cuts ( $C^{\prime} \subseteq C$ )
- Order geodesics to essential cuts by decreasing Euclidean length: $\ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right) \geq \ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right) \geq \ldots \geq \ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{\left.\mid c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$
$-C^{\prime \prime}-C^{\prime}$
-For t=1 TO IC'|
- Identify all $C_{t} \subset C^{\prime}$ that $g_{t}$ intersects

$$
-C^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow C^{\prime \prime} C_{t}
$$

$-G_{C}$, set of geodesics that end at cuts in $C^{\prime \prime}$
Claim 1: The geodesics in $G_{c "}$ are a set of independent geodesics, i.e., no essential cut is visited by two of these geodesics.

- Claim 2: Each essential cut visited by OPT( $S, P, s$ ) (each cut in $C^{\prime}$ ) is touched by exactly one of the geodesics.
-The geodesics in $G_{C}$ " intersect the cuts in $C$ " in points of the type $p_{i, j}-$ set $\mathcal{P}_{C}$
-Build relative convex hull of all $o_{i, j}$ and all points in $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}$ (relative w.r.t. polygon $P$ ): $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$
Claim 3: No geodesic can intersect $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ between a point $O_{i, j}$ and a point $p_{i, j}$ on the same cut. Thus, between any pair of points of the type $o_{i, j}$ on $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, we have at most two points of $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}} . \mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ has length at most $3 \cdot\|O P T(S, P, s)\|$.
- Claim 4: $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ is not longer than $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ visits one point per $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\right.$ except for $\left.\gamma_{0}\right)$.
- Identify all cuts of the $k \mathrm{VR}\left(\mathrm{si}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ that $\operatorname{OPT}(S, P, s)$ visits - set $C\left(C \subseteq C_{\text {all }}\right)$
- Let $O_{i, j}$ denote the point where OPT( $S, P, s$ ) visits $c_{i, j}$ (first time)
- Identify subset $C^{\prime}$ of essential cuts ( $C^{\prime} \subseteq C$ )
- Order geodesics to essential cuts by decreasing Euclidean length: $\ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right) \geq \ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right) \geq \ldots \geq \ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{\left.\mid c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$
$-C^{\prime \prime}-C^{\prime}$
-For t=1 TO |C'|
- Identify all $C_{t} \subset C^{\prime}$ that $g_{t}$ intersects

$$
-C^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow C^{\prime \prime} C_{t}
$$

$-G_{C}$ set of geodesics that end at cuts in $C^{\prime \prime}$
Claim 1: The geodesics in $G_{C}$, are a set of independent geodesics, i.e., no essential cut is visited by two of these geodesics.

- Claim 2: Each essential cut visited by OPT(S,P,s) (each cut in $C^{\prime}$ ) is touched by exactly one of the geodesics.
-The geodesics in $G_{C}$ " intersect the cuts in $C$ " in points of the type $p_{i, j}-$ set $\mathcal{P}_{C}$
-Build relative convex hull of all $O_{i, j}$ and all points in $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}$ (relative w.r.t. polygon $P$ ): $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$
Claim 3: No geodesic can intersect $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ between a point $o_{i, j}$ and a point $p_{i, j}$ on the same cut. Thus, between any pair of points of the type $o_{i, j}$ on $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, we have at most two points of $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}} . \mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ has length at most $3 \cdot\|O P T(S, P, s)\|$.
${ }^{-}$Claim 4: $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ is not longer than $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ visits one point per $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\right.$ except for $\left.\gamma_{0}\right)$.
- To connect $s$ (which may lie in the interior of $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, we need to connect $s$, which costs at most IIOPT(S,P,s)II.
- Identify all cuts of the $k \mathrm{VR}\left(\mathrm{si}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ that $\operatorname{OPT}(S, P, s)$ visits - set $C\left(C \subseteq C_{\text {all }}\right)$
- Let $O_{i, j}$ denote the point where OPT(S,P,s) visits $c_{i, j}$ (first time)
- Identify subset $C^{\prime}$ of essential cuts $\left(C^{\prime} \subseteq C\right)$
- Order geodesics to essential cuts by decreasing Euclidean length: $\ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{1}\right) \geq \ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{2}\right) \geq \ldots \geq \ell\left(\mathrm{g}_{\left.\mid c^{\prime}\right)}\right)$
- $C^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow C^{\prime}$
-For $\mathrm{t}=1 \mathrm{TO}\left|\mathrm{C}^{\prime}\right|$
- Identify all $C_{t} \subset C^{\prime}$ that $g_{t}$ intersects

$$
-C^{\prime \prime} \leftarrow C^{\prime \prime} C_{t}
$$

$-G_{C}$ set of geodesics that end at cuts in $C^{\prime \prime}$
Claim 1: The geodesics in $G_{c "}$ are a set of independent geodesics, i.e., no essential cut is visited by two of these geodesics.

- Claim 2: Each essential cut visited by OPT(S,P,s) (each cut in $C^{\prime}$ ) is touched by exactly one of the geodesics.
-The geodesics in $G_{C}$ " intersect the cuts in $C$ " in points of the type $p_{i, j}-$ set $\mathcal{P}_{C}$
-Build relative convex hull of all $O_{i, j}$ and all points in $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}$ (relative w.r.t. polygon $P$ ): $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$
Claim 3: No geodesic can intersect $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ between a point $o_{i, j}$ and a point $p_{i, j}$ on the same cut. Thus, between any pair of points of the type $o_{i, j}$ on $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$, we have at most two points of $\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}} . \mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)$ has length at most $3 \cdot\|O P T(S, P, s)\|$.
${ }^{-}$Claim 4: $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ is not longer than $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$ visits one point per $\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\right.$ except for $\left.\gamma_{0}\right)$.
To connect $s$ (which may lie in the interior of $\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime}}\right)$, we need to connect $s$, which costs at most IIOPT $(S, P, s) \|$.
$\|R\| \leq \alpha_{1} \cdot f(|V(G)|,|S|)\left\|\mathrm{OPT}_{G}(S, P, s)\right\| \leq \alpha_{2} \cdot f(n|S|,|S|)\left\|\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathcal{P}_{C^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right\| \leq \alpha_{3} \cdot f(n|S|,|S|)\left\|\mathrm{CH}_{P}\left(\mathrm{OPT}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime \prime}}\right)\right\|$
$\leq \alpha_{4} \cdot f(n|S|,|S|)\|\operatorname{OPT}(S, P, s)\|$
with $f(N, M)=\log ^{2} N \log \log N \log M$
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- Structural analogue for extensions, which we have for 0-transmitters?


A cut c partitions polygon into two subpolygons:
$P_{s}(c)$-subpolygon that contains starting point s
A cut $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ dominates $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$
Essential cut: not dominated by other cut

- We see all of $P$ iff we visit all essential cuts.
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A cut c partitions polygon into two subpolygons:
$P_{s}(c)$-subpolygon that contains starting point $s$
A cut $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ dominates $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ if $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right) \subseteq \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$
Essential cut: not dominated by other cut

- We see all of $P$ iff we visit all essential cuts.


## Open Problem k-Transmitter Watchman Routes

- Structural analogue for extensions, which we have for 0-transmitters?


A cut c partitions polygon into two subpolygons:
$P_{s}(c)$-subpolygon that contains starting point s
A cut $C_{1}$ dominates $c_{2}$ if $P_{s}\left(c_{2}\right) \subseteq P_{s}\left(c_{1}\right)$
Essential cut: not dominated by other cut

- OPEN PROBLEM \#2: Is there a structure like essential cuts that guarantees k-visibility of P when visited?
- We see all of $P$ iff we visit all essential cuts.
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## Outlook

- Improved combinatorial bounds for 2-/k-transmitter covers-in particular: Open Problem \#1. Better upper bounds for simple polygons than the one stemming from 0-transmitters
- Open Problem \#2: Structural analogue for extensions for 0-transmitters?
- Approximation for watchmen routes for $k$-transmitters without given starting point and/or when all of $P$ should be monitored?
- Generally: More structural insights for k-transmitters
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